

On the Analytical Approach to the N -Fold Bäcklund Transformation of Davey-Stewartson Equation

S.K. PAUL and A. ROY CHOWDHURY

*High Energy Physics Division, Department of Physics,
Jadavpur University, Calcutta 700032, India*

Received March 12, 1998; Accepted May 31, 1998

Abstract

N -fold Bäcklund transformation for the Davey-Stewartson equation is constructed by using the analytic structure of the Lax eigenfunction in the complex eigenvalue plane. Explicit formulae can be obtained for a specified value of N . Lastly it is shown how generalized soliton solutions are generated from the trivial ones.

Introduction: Inverse scattering transform holds a central place in the analysis of nonlinear integrable system in either (1+1)- or (2+1)-dimensions [1]. On the other hand it has been found that explicit soliton solutions can also be obtained by the use of Bäcklund transformations in a much easier way [2]. These Bäcklund transformations are also useful in proving the superposition formulae for these solutions. There have been many attempts to construct explicit N -soliton solutions for nonlinear integrable system either by Bäcklund transformations or the inverse scattering method. A separate and elegant approach was developed by Zakharov *et al* [3] which relied on the pole structure of the Lax eigenfunction and use of projection operators. In this letter we have used an approach similar to that of Zakharov *et al* but have generated a formulae for the N -fold BT of the nonlinear field variables occurring in the (2+1)-dimensional Davey-Stewartson equation [4]. Our approach is very similar to that of gauge transformation repeatedly applied to any particular seed solution. Lastly we demonstrate how non-trivial solutions are generated by starting with known trivial ones.

Formulation: The Davey-Stewartson equation under consideration can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} ir_t + r_{xx} - r_{yy} + r(A_2 - A_1) &= 0, \\ iq_t + q_{yy} - q_{xx} + q(A_1 - A_2) &= 0, \\ A_{1x} &= -\frac{1}{2}(q_y r + r_y q), \quad A_{2y} = -\frac{1}{2}(q_x r + r_x q). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Equation (1) is known to be a result of the consistency of the operators T_1 and T_2 written as $[T_1, T_2]\Psi = 0$, where

$$T_1\Psi = \left\{ 2 \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x & 0 \\ 0 & \partial_y \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q \\ r & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \Psi = 0,$$

$$T_2\Psi = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (i\partial_t + \partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q_x \\ r_y & 0 \end{pmatrix} + A \right\} \Psi = -\frac{K^2}{2}\Psi,$$

and $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 \end{pmatrix}$, $K = \text{const.}$

To proceed further we set

$$A_1 = f_{1y}, \quad A_2 = f_{2x}$$

and

$$\Psi = \Phi \exp \{ i (\alpha + \lambda^{-2}) x - i (\beta - \lambda^{-2}) y \}.$$

Whence the Lax pair becomes,

$$M\Phi = U\Phi, \quad \Phi_t = V\Phi \quad (2)$$

with

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x & 0 \\ 0 & \partial_y \end{pmatrix}, \quad U = \begin{pmatrix} -i(\alpha + \lambda^{-2}) & -q/2 \\ -r/2 & i(\beta - \lambda^{-2}) \end{pmatrix} \quad (3)$$

and

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} i\Lambda + Q(\partial_x, \partial_y) + if_{1y} & iq_x \\ ir_y & i\Lambda + Q(\partial_x, \partial_y) + if_{2y} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

With

$$\Lambda = K^2 - (\alpha + \lambda^{-2})^2 - (\beta - \lambda^{-2})^2,$$

$$Q(\partial_x, \partial_y) = i(\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2) - 2\{(\alpha + \lambda^{-2})\partial_x - (\beta - \lambda^{-2})\partial_y\},$$

we can construct particular Jost solutions, corresponding to $q = q_0 = \text{const}$, $r = r_0 = \text{const}$, $A_1 = A_{10} = f_{1y}^0$ and $A_2 = A_{20} = f_{2x}^0$ with $f_{1y}^0 = f_{2x}^0 = \text{const}$. This particular eigenvector Φ_0 turns out to be

$$\hat{\Phi}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \exp(\theta_1 x + \chi_1 y + \xi_1 t) & \exp(\theta_2 x + \chi_2 y + \xi_2 t) \\ m_0 \exp(\theta_1 x + \chi_1 y + \xi_1 t) & n_0 \exp(\theta_2 x + \chi_2 y + \xi_2 t) \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$\theta_1 = -i(\alpha + \lambda^{-2}) + am_0, \quad \theta_2 = -i(\alpha + \lambda^{-2}) + an_0,$$

$$\chi_1 = b/m_0 + i(\beta - \lambda^{-2}), \quad \chi_2 = b/n_0 + i(\beta - \lambda^{-2}),$$

ξ_1, ξ_2 are arbitrary complex constants, m_0, n_0 are arbitrary constants and $m_0 \neq n_0$.

Note that $\det \Phi_0 \neq 0$ so that Φ_0^{-1} exists.

Now suppose that Φ_{n-1} denotes the Lax eigenfunction, corresponding to the $(n-1)$ soliton solution, and $B_n(x, y, t)$ be the transformation which yields the Φ_n (solution corresponding to the n soliton case when applied to Φ_{n-1}), that is

$$\Phi_n(x, y, t, \lambda) = B_n(x, y, t, \lambda)\Phi_{n-1}(x, y, t, \lambda).$$

Using the above Lax equations (2) and (4), we can at once deduce the equations satisfied by B_n

$$MB_n = U_n B_n - B_n U_{n-1}, \quad \partial_t B_n = V_n B_n - B_n V_{n-1}, \quad (5)$$

where U_n, V_n denote the Lax matrices.

Corresponding to the n -soliton solution: Note that U, V are even functions of λ , so that we can assume that

$$B_n(-\lambda) = B_n(\lambda).$$

We now assume B_n to have simple pole structure in the complex λ -plane, so that

$$B_n(x, y, t, \lambda) = Q_n + \frac{2\lambda_n}{\lambda^2 - \lambda_n^2} P_n. \quad (6)$$

We also assume that

$$B_n^{-1}(x, y, t, \lambda) = Q'_n + \frac{2\lambda'_n}{\lambda^2 - \lambda_n'^2} P'_n, \quad (7)$$

where P_n, Q_n, P'_n, Q'_n are matrix functions of (x, y, t) . The condition $B_n B_n^{-1} = B_n^{-1} B_n = I$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned} B_n(\lambda'_n) P'_n &= 0, & P_n B_n^{-1}(\lambda_n) &= 0, \\ B_n^{-1}(\lambda_n) P_n &= 0, & P'_n B_n(\lambda'_n) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Calculation of the matrices Q_n, P_n, Q'_n, P'_n : Let us now go back to equation (5) and use the expression (6) and (7). Rewriting U_n as

$$U_n = -i\lambda^{-2}I + U'_n$$

and using the form of B_n given in (6) we get:

$$\begin{aligned} MQ_n + \frac{2\lambda_n}{\lambda^2 - \lambda_n^2} (MP_n) &= (-i\lambda^{-2}I + U'_n) \left(Q_n + \frac{2\lambda_n}{\lambda^2 - \lambda_n^2} P_n \right) \\ &\quad - \left(Q_n + \frac{2\lambda_n}{\lambda^2 - \lambda_n^2} P_n \right) (-i\lambda^{-2}I + U'_{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

which yields equations satisfied by P_n and Q_n :

$$\begin{aligned} M(P_n \Phi_{n-1}(\lambda_n)) &= U_n(\lambda_n)(P_n \Phi_{n-1}(\lambda_n)), \\ M(Q_n \Phi_{n-1}(\lambda_n)) &= U_n(\lambda_n)(Q_n \Phi_{n-1}(\lambda_n)). \end{aligned}$$

Using the same form of V_n as give in equation (3) in the time part, we get the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x \left(Q_n - \frac{2}{\lambda_n} P_n \right) &= -\partial_y \left(Q_n - \frac{2}{\lambda_n} P_n \right), \\ \partial_t (P_n \Phi_{n-1}(\lambda_n)) &= V_n(\lambda_n)(P_n \Phi_{n-1}(\lambda_n)), \\ Q_{nt} &= OP_2 Q_n + 2i(Q_{nx} \partial_x + Q_{ny} \partial_y) + D_n Q_n - Q_n D_{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where operator $OP_2 \equiv i(\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2) - 2(\alpha\partial_x - \beta\partial_y)$, $\alpha, \beta = \text{const.}$, and $D_n = \begin{pmatrix} if_{1y}^n & iq_{nx} \\ ir_{ny} & if_{2x}^n \end{pmatrix}$.

As per the ansatz of Zakharov we search for P_n in the form,

$$P_n = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{n1} \\ \gamma_{n2} \end{pmatrix} (\delta_{n1}, \delta_{n2}).$$

It is interesting to observe that

$$(\delta_{n1}, \delta_{n2}) = (a_{n1}, a_{n2}) \Phi_{n-1}^{-1}(n),$$

where a_{n1}, a_{n2} are practically two constants.

Similarly for P'_n and Q'_n , we set

$$P'_n = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma'_{n1} \\ \gamma'_{n2} \end{pmatrix} (\delta'_{n1}, \delta'_{n2}) \quad \text{and} \quad Q'_n = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha'_n & \alpha''_n \\ \beta'_n & \beta''_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Whence we get

$$B_l(\lambda'_l) = \begin{pmatrix} F_l^{11} + \sigma_l \gamma_{l1} \delta_{l1} & F_l^{12} + \sigma_l \gamma_{l2} \delta_{l2} \\ F_l^{21} + \sigma_l \gamma_{l2} \delta_{l1} & F_l^{22} + \sigma_l \gamma_{l2} \delta_{l2} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_l^{11} &= f_l^{11}(t) \exp\{im_{1l}(x-y)\}, & F_l^{12} &= f_l^{12}(t) \exp\{im'_{1l}(x-y)\}, \\ F_l^{21} &= f_l^{21}(t) \exp\{im_{2l}(x-y)\}, & F_l^{22} &= f_l^{22}(t) \exp\{im'_{2l}(x-y)\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_l &= \frac{2}{\lambda_l} - \frac{2\lambda_l}{\lambda_l^2 - \lambda_l'^2}, \\ \delta_{l1} &= -\frac{\delta'_{l1} F_l^{11} + \delta'_{l2} F_l^{21}}{\sigma_l(\delta'_{l1} \gamma_{l1} + \delta'_{l2} \gamma_{l2})}, & \delta_{l2} &= -\frac{\delta'_{l1} F_l^{12} + \delta'_{l2} F_l^{22}}{\sigma_l(\delta'_{l1} \gamma_{l1} + \delta'_{l2} \gamma_{l2})}, \\ \gamma_{l1} &= -\frac{\gamma'_{l1} F_l^{11} + \gamma'_{l2} F_l^{12}}{\sigma_l(\gamma'_{l1} \delta_{l1} + \gamma'_{l2} \delta_{l2})}, & \gamma_{l2} &= -\frac{\gamma'_{l1} F_l^{21} + \gamma'_{l2} F_l^{22}}{\sigma_l(\gamma'_{l1} \delta_{l1} + \gamma'_{l2} \delta_{l2})}. \end{aligned}$$

$$B_l^{-1}(\lambda_l) = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha'_l + \varepsilon'_l \gamma'_{l1} \delta'_{l1} & \alpha''_l + \varepsilon'_l \gamma'_{l1} \delta'_{l2} \\ \beta'_l + \varepsilon'_l \gamma'_{l2} \delta'_{l1} & \beta''_l + \varepsilon'_l \gamma'_{l2} \delta'_{l2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here $\varepsilon'_l = \frac{2\lambda'_l}{\lambda_l^2 - \lambda_l'^2}$, along with

$$\begin{aligned} \delta'_{l1} &= -\frac{\alpha'_l \delta_{l1} + \beta'_l \delta_{l2}}{\varepsilon'_l(\delta_{l1} \gamma'_{l1} + \delta_{l2} \gamma'_{l2})}, & \delta'_{l2} &= -\frac{\alpha''_l \delta_{l1} + \beta''_l \delta_{l2}}{\varepsilon'_l(\delta_{l1} \gamma'_{l1} + \delta_{l2} \gamma'_{l2})}, \\ \gamma'_{l1} &= -\frac{\gamma_{l1} \alpha'_l + \gamma_{l2} \alpha''_l}{\varepsilon'_l(\gamma_{l1} \delta'_{l1} + \gamma_{l2} \delta'_{l2})}, & \gamma'_{l2} &= -\frac{\gamma_{l1} \beta'_l + \gamma_{l2} \beta''_l}{\varepsilon'_l(\gamma_{l1} \delta'_{l1} + \gamma_{l2} \delta'_{l2})}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally the matrix Q_l is given as

$$Q_l = \begin{pmatrix} f_l^{11}(t) \exp\{im_{1l}(x-y)\} + \frac{2}{\lambda_l} \gamma_{l1} \delta_{l1} & f_l^{12}(t) \exp\{im'_{1l}(x-y)\} + \frac{2}{\lambda_l} \gamma_{l1} \delta_{l2} \\ f_l^{21}(t) \exp\{im_{2l}(x-y)\} + \frac{2}{\lambda_l} \gamma_{l2} \delta_{l1} & f_l^{22}(t) \exp\{im'_{2l}(x-y)\} + \frac{2}{\lambda_l} \gamma_{l2} \delta_{l2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is also very convenient to rewrite the matrix elements of Q and P in terms of Lax eigenfunctions Φ . We collect these results below without giving the detailed derivation,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_l^{11} &= \frac{R_l \Phi_{l-1}^{21}(\lambda_l) + R'_l \Phi_{l-1}^{22}(\lambda_l)}{a_l \Phi_{l-1}^{22}(\lambda_l) - b_l \Phi_{l-1}^{21}(\lambda_l)}, & Q_l^{12} &= \frac{M_l \Phi_{l-1}^{21}(\lambda_l) + M'_l \Phi_{l-1}^{22}(\lambda_l)}{a_l \Phi_{l-1}^{22}(\lambda_l) - b_l \Phi_{l-1}^{21}(\lambda_l)}, \\ Q_l^{21} &= \frac{L_l \Phi_{l-1}^{21}(\lambda_l) + L'_l \Phi_{l-1}^{22}(\lambda_l)}{a_l \Phi_{l-1}^{22}(\lambda_l) - b_l \Phi_{l-1}^{21}(\lambda_l)}, & Q_l^{22} &= \frac{N_l \Phi_{l-1}^{21}(\lambda_l) + N'_l \Phi_{l-1}^{22}(\lambda_l)}{a_l \Phi_{l-1}^{22}(\lambda_l) - b_l \Phi_{l-1}^{21}(\lambda_l)}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_l &= -\frac{\lambda_l'^2 b_l f_l^{11}(t) \exp\{im_{1l}(x-y)\}}{\lambda_l'^2}, \\ R'_l &= \frac{1}{\lambda_l'^2} \left\{ \lambda_l^2 a_l f_l^{11}(t) \exp\{im_{1l}(x-y)\} + (\lambda_l^2 - \lambda_l'^2) b_l f_l^{12}(t) \exp\{im'_{1l}(x-y)\} \right\}, \\ M_l &= \frac{1}{\lambda_l'^2} \left\{ -(\lambda_l^2 - \lambda_l'^2) a_l f_l^{11}(t) \exp\{im_{1l}(x-y)\} - \lambda_l^2 b_l f_l^{12}(t) \exp\{im'_{1l}(x-y)\} \right\}, \\ M'_l &= \frac{\lambda_l'^2 a_l f_l^{12}(t) \exp\{im'_{1l}(x-y)\}}{\lambda_l'^2}, & L_l &= -\frac{\lambda_l'^2 b_l f_l^{21}(t) \exp\{im_{2l}(x-y)\}}{\lambda_l'^2}, \\ L'_l &= \frac{1}{\lambda_l'^2} \left\{ \lambda_l^2 a_l f_l^{21}(t) \exp\{im_{2l}(x-y)\} + (\lambda_l^2 - \lambda_l'^2) b_l f_l^{22}(t) \exp\{im'_{2l}(x-y)\} \right\}, \\ N_l &= \frac{1}{\lambda_l'^2} \left\{ -(\lambda_l^2 - \lambda_l'^2) a_l f_l^{21}(t) \exp\{im_{2l}(x-y)\} - \lambda_l^2 b_l f_l^{22}(t) \exp\{im'_{2l}(x-y)\} \right\}, \\ N'_l &= \frac{\lambda_l'^2 a_l f_l^{22}(t) \exp\{im_{2l}(x-y)\}}{\lambda_l'^2}. \end{aligned}$$

The elements of the P_l matrix are:

$$\begin{aligned} P_l^{11} &= -\frac{F_l}{\sigma_l} \frac{\Phi_{l-1}^{22}(\lambda_l)}{a_l \Phi_{l-1}^{22}(\lambda_l) - b_l \Phi_{l-1}^{21}(\lambda_l)}, & P_l^{12} &= \frac{F_l}{\sigma_l} \frac{\Phi_{l-1}^{21}(\lambda_l)}{a_l \Phi_{l-1}^{22}(\lambda_l) - b_l \Phi_{l-1}^{21}(\lambda_l)}, \\ P_l^{21} &= -\frac{F'_l}{\sigma_l} \frac{\Phi_{l-1}^{22}(\lambda_l)}{a_l \Phi_{l-1}^{22}(\lambda_l) - b_l \Phi_{l-1}^{21}(\lambda_l)}, & P_l^{22} &= \frac{F'_l}{\sigma_l} \frac{\Phi_{l-1}^{21}(\lambda_l)}{a_l \Phi_{l-1}^{22}(\lambda_l) - b_l \Phi_{l-1}^{21}(\lambda_l)}, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} F_l &= a_l f_l^{11}(t) \exp\{im_{1l}(x-y)\} + b_l f_l^{12}(t) \exp\{im'_{1l}(x-y)\}, \\ F'_l &= a_l f_l^{21}(t) \exp\{im_{2l}(x-y)\} + b_l f_l^{22}(t) \exp\{im'_{2l}(x-y)\}, \\ \sigma_l &= \frac{2\lambda_l'^2}{\lambda_l (\lambda_l'^2 - \lambda_l^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Construction of the nonlinear fields: Once the form of the matrices P_l and Q_l are determined we can construct the matrix B_l , so that the Lax eigenfunction $\Phi_l(\lambda)$ for the next stage can be determined from that of the previous one via,

$$\Phi_l(\lambda) = B_l(\lambda) \Phi_{l-1}(\lambda).$$

These expressions are very complicated, so we just quote one of them to display their structure. For example,

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_l^{11}(\lambda) &= N_l/D_l, \\ D_l &= a_l\Phi_{l-1}^{21}(\lambda_l) - b_l\Phi_{l-1}^{22}(\lambda_l), \\ N_l &= R_l\Phi_{l-1}^{21}(\lambda_l)\Phi_{l-1}^{11}(\lambda) + \{R'_l - f_l(\lambda)F_l\}\Phi_{l-1}^{22}(\lambda_l)\Phi_{l-1}^{11}(\lambda) \\ &\quad + \{M_l + f_l(\lambda)F_l\}\Phi_{l-1}^{21}(\lambda_l)\Phi_{l-1}^{21}(\lambda) + M'_l\Phi_{l-1}^{22}(\lambda_l)\Phi_{l-1}^{21}(\lambda),\end{aligned}\tag{8}$$

with similar expression for other elements Φ^{12} , Φ^{21} and Φ^{22} .

Now, for the determination of nonlinear fields, consider

$$U'_n Q_n = M_n Q_n + Q_n U'_{n-1},$$

where

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x & 0 \\ 0 & \partial_y \end{pmatrix}, \quad U'_n = \begin{pmatrix} -i\alpha & -\frac{q_n}{2} \\ -\frac{r_n}{2} & i\beta \end{pmatrix}, \quad U'_{n-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -i\alpha & -\frac{q_{n-1}}{2} \\ -\frac{r_{n-1}}{2} & i\beta \end{pmatrix}.$$

In the expression for U'_n and U'_{n-1} we take $\alpha = \beta = 0$, which at once yields

$$q_n = -\frac{2Q_{nx}^{12}}{Q_n^{22}} + \frac{Q_n^{11}}{Q_n^{22}} q_{n-1}.\tag{9}$$

This is nothing but a simple recursion relation. Similarly,

$$r_n = -\frac{2Q_{ny}^{21}}{Q_n^{11}} + \frac{Q_n^{22}}{Q_n^{11}} r_{n-1}.\tag{10}$$

Explicitly are can write,

$$\begin{aligned}n=1, \quad q_1 &= -\frac{2Q_{1x}^{12}}{Q_1^{22}} + \frac{Q_1^{11}}{Q_1^{22}} q_0, \quad r_1 = -\frac{2Q_{1y}^{21}}{Q_1^{11}} + \frac{Q_1^{22}}{Q_1^{11}} r_0, \\ n=2, \quad q_2 &= -\frac{2Q_{2x}^{12}}{Q_2^{22}} - \frac{2Q_2^{11}Q_{1x}^{12}}{Q_2^{22}Q_1^{22}} + \frac{Q_2^{11}Q_1^{11}}{Q_2^{22}Q_1^{22}} q_0, \\ r_2 &= -\frac{2Q_{2y}^{21}}{Q_2^{11}} - \frac{2Q_2^{22}Q_{1y}^{21}}{Q_2^{11}Q_1^{11}} + \frac{Q_2^{22}Q_1^{22}}{Q_2^{11}Q_1^{11}} r_0.\end{aligned}$$

So far we have considered $f_l^{11}(t)$, $f_l^{12}(t)$, $f_l^{21}(t)$, $f_l^{22}(t)$ to be functions of time or constants; m_{1l} , m'_{1l} , m_{2l} , m'_{2l} to be arbitrary constants; a_l , b_l to be arbitrary constants for all l . Now assume that $f_l^{12}(t) = f_l^{21}(t) = 0$ and $b_l = 0$ for all l values. So that $R_l = M'_l = L_l = L'_l = N_l = F'_l = 0$ for all l . In this case the form of $B_l(\lambda)$ turns out to be:

$$B_l(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\lambda_l^2}{\lambda_l'^2} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_l'^2 - \lambda_l^2}{\lambda^2 - \lambda_l'^2}\right) f_l^{11}(t) e^{im_{1l}(x-y)} & \left(1 + \frac{\lambda_l^2}{\lambda^2 - \lambda_l'^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_l^2}{\lambda_l'^2}\right) f_l^{11}(t) e^{im_{1l}(x-y)} \theta_{l-1} \\ 0 & f_l^{22}(t) e^{im_{2l}(x-y)} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\theta_{l-1} = \frac{\Phi_{l-1}^{21}(\lambda_l)}{\Phi_{l-1}^{22}(\lambda_l)}$.

To write the formulae for the n -soliton solution in a compact form, we note that

$$Q_n^{11} Q_{n-1}^{11} Q_{n-2}^{11} \cdots Q_R^{11} = A_{n-R+1}^n F_{n-R+1}^n(t) \exp \left\{ i \sum_{j=1}^{n-R+1} m_{1,n-j+1}(x-y) \right\},$$

where $A_{n-R+1}^n, F_{n-R+1}^n(t)$ stands for

$$A_{n-R+1}^n = \prod_{l=1}^{n-R+1} \frac{\lambda_{n-l+1}^2}{\lambda_{n-l+1}^2}, \quad F_{n-R+1}^n(t) = \prod_{l=1}^{n-R+1} f_{n-l+1}^{11}(t).$$

Similar expressions can be written for Q_n^{ij} and its products. Using these, we at once obtain:

$$q_n = \frac{-2\overline{m}_n Q_n^{12}}{G_1^n(t) \exp\{im'_{2,n}(x-y)\}} + \frac{A_n^n F_n^n(t) \exp \left\{ i \sum_{j=1}^n m_{1,n-j+1}(x-y) \right\}}{G_n^n(t) \exp \left\{ i \sum_{j=1}^n m_{2,n-j+1}(x-y) \right\}} - 2 \sum_{K=1}^{n-1} \frac{A_K^n F_K^n(t) \exp \left\{ i \sum_{j=1}^K m_{1(n-j+1)}(x-y) \right\}}{G_{K+1}^n(t) \exp \left\{ i \sum_{j=1}^{K+1} m_{2(n-j+1)}(x-y) \right\}} \overline{m}_{n-K} Q_{n-K}^{12},$$

where we have set

$$\overline{m}_0 = a(m_0 - n_0), \quad G_K^n(t) = \prod_{l=1}^K f_{n-l+1}^{22}(t), \quad \overline{m}'_n = -im_{1n} + \overline{m}'_0,$$

$$\overline{m}'_0 = b(1/m_0 - 1/n_0), \quad F_k^n = \prod_{l=1}^k f_{n-l+1}^{11}(t), \quad T_n = \frac{m_0}{n_0} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_n^2}{\lambda_n'^2} \right),$$

$$Q_n^{12} = T_n f_n^{11}(t) \exp(im_{1n}x) \exp(\overline{m}_{0x} + \overline{m}'_n y + \delta t).$$

Here δ is a complex constant.

Discussions: In the above analysis we have demonstrated how the pole type ansatz of Zakharov *et al* [3] can be used to generate a compact formulae for the N -fold Bäcklund transformation in the case of the Davey-Stewartson equation. The study yields two main results exhibited in equations (8) and (9). While the equation gives a recursive procedure for the determination of the Lax eigenfunction (Φ_l corresponds to the l -soliton state) equation (9) and (10) gives the corresponding recursion relation for the nonlinear fields. We have actually checked that for $n = 1$ one obtains the one soliton solution well known in the literature.

References

- [1] Ablowitz M.J. and Clarkson P.A., *Solitons, Nonlinear Evolution Equation and Inverse Scattering*, London Math. Soc., V.149, 1991.
Lamb Jr., *Soliton Theory*, John Wiley, 1980.
- [2] R.M. Miura (Editor), *Bäcklund Transformation*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, V.515 Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976.
- [3] Zakharov V.E. and Mikhailov A.V., *JETP Lett.*, 1978, V.27, 42.
De M. and Roy Chowdhury A., *Austr. J. Phys.*, 1988, V.41, 735.
De M. and Roy Chowdhury A., *Inverse Problems*, 1988, V.4, 901.
- [4] Davey A. and Stewartson K., *Proc. Roy. Soc. London A*, 1974, V.338, 101.